

November 8, 2022

Infrastructure Ontario
 1 Dundas Street West
 Suite 2000, Toronto
 Ontario M5G 2L5

Attention: Michael Inch
 Vice-President, Procurement

Subject: **Fairness Report – Request for Proposal (“RFP”) Stage for the Trillium Health Partners M-Site Redevelopment – RFP 21-350**

OPTIMUS|SBR (“Optimus”) was engaged as the Fairness Monitor to review, observe and confirm the processes of communication, evaluation and decision-making associated with the procurement process for Trillium Health Partners M-Site Redevelopment – RFP 21-350, issued by Infrastructure Ontario. Our role related to ensuring openness, fairness, consistency and transparency at the conclusion of the Project RFP process and identification of Preferred Proponent.

Optimus hereby presents its final procurement fairness attestation report to Infrastructure Ontario at the conclusion of the RFP Stage in the procurement process, describing how the procurement process has complied with requirements. The following chart included below is in accordance with Infrastructure Ontario’s procurement guidelines. It summarizes our involvement and findings:

Stage	Task	Fair (Yes / No)
Pre- RFP Issue		
1.	The procurement documents, including the evaluation tools, were reviewed and were deemed to be consistent with the guidelines established by Infrastructure Ontario and the Procurement Framework	Yes
2.	The RFP open period was consistent with the Procurement Framework	Yes

Stage	Task	Fair (Yes / No)
3.	The time and place of the closing were clearly identified in the procurement documents	Yes
RFP Open Period		
4.	Procurement documents were made available in an open and equitable manner	Yes
5.	Mandatory meetings were clearly identified in the procurement documents and there were no meetings of which all Proponents were not notified	Yes
6.	Answers were made available to all Proponents for all questions that were submitted through the Request for Information protocols	Yes
7.	Infrastructure Ontario confirmed that the requisite information would be made available regarding the results of the procurement	Yes
8.	All participants confirmed their adherence to the conflict of interest and confidentiality requirements throughout the RFP Open period	Yes
9.	Protocols were in place to control access to information as appropriate, including protection of Commercially Confidential information	Yes
10.	Proponents confirmed their adherence to the conflict of interest and confidentiality requirements in their submissions	Yes
11.	The submissions were logged and recorded upon receipt, clearly confirming Proponent submissions were received on time	Yes
12.	The composition of the Evaluation Committee adhered to the Evaluation Framework document	Yes
13.	There was a protocol in place to ensure that document confidentiality was maintained	Yes

Stage	Task	Fair (Yes / No)
Post RFP Close		
14.	The evaluation criteria and process were included in the RFP	Yes
15.	The evaluation and scoring guideline were finalized before the Closing	Yes
16.	Evaluators were trained on the evaluation tools	Yes
17.	Pricing submissions were opened as per the procurement process according to the RFP and the Evaluation Framework	Yes
18.	Pricing submissions were opened only for Proponents who met the requirements of the procurement process according to the RFP and Evaluation Framework	Yes
19.	Evaluations were done in an unbiased manner and in accordance with the RFP documents and Evaluation Framework	Yes
20.	The selection of the "First Negotiation Proponent" was approved according to the RFP documents and Evaluation Framework	Yes
21.	Debriefings are to be provided for all Proponents.	Yes

Observations and Findings

The procurement process is established clearly in Infrastructure Ontario's guidelines. The evaluation process and criteria described in the procurement documents were applied consistently and equitably.

In the final evaluation discussions, the evaluators demonstrated that they had been diligent in their responsibilities, that they were able to support their individual evaluation assessments and that they held no bias for or against any Respondent.

There were no unresolved issues at the RFP stage of the procurement. Consensus was reached and confirmed by all evaluators. An official record was produced to document the evaluation and scoring consensus decisions, including the supporting rationale.

Conclusion

As a result of the Evaluation Team consensus processes, and presentation to the Evaluation Committee on October 31st, 2022, an approval of the RFP results and identification of a First Negotiation Proponent was achieved. Optimus confirms that the identified First Negotiation Proponent successfully satisfied the requirements of the RFP evaluation process and was the highest scoring Proponent in this process.

As the Fairness Monitor for the Project, we certify that the principles of openness, fairness, consistency and transparency have been, in our opinion, properly established and maintained throughout the procurement process. Furthermore, we were not made aware of any issues that emerged during the process that would impair the fairness of this initiative.

As Fairness Monitor, we attest that:

- a) The Project procurement process was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Project documents and met the fairness and transparency requirements established in the documents and other related policies of Infrastructure Ontario and the Government of Ontario.
- b) The Sponsors' personnel and external advisors adhered to Infrastructure Ontario's conflict of interest and confidentiality requirements, and
- c) All Proponents were treated consistently in the evaluation process and in accordance with the Project documents and the established principles of fairness, openness and transparency.

OPTIMUS|SBR



Lead Fairness Monitor, Corporate Lead

Jamie O'Brien